

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,924 07/31/2003		Shao-Jen Lim	046006-0305310	7578	
	7590 04/05/200 TRAUSS HAUER &	EXAMINER			
ONE COMMER	•	SINGH, RAMNANDAN P			
2005 MARKET PHILADELPHI	STREET, SUITE 220 A, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2614		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	THS	04/05/2007	PAF	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Ar	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10	0/630,924	LIM ET AL.				
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
			mnandan Singh	2614				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	s on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Mosions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNI In no event, however, may a ply and will expire SIX (6) MO te the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 19 Janua	arv 2007.					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the a	pplication.	•	,				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	☐ Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object			= -				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign pric	ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
coo and attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachman	//c\							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	·	Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:								

Application/Control Number: 10/630,924 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan et al [US 20030210074 A1] in view of Beck [US 20020171402 A1].

Regarding claim 1, Morgan et al teach a circuit for signal transmission shown in Fig. 3, the circuit comprising:

a current source (Isource) [Figs. 3-4];

current steering

a current sink (Isink) having a current control terminal [Figs. 3-4]; a current steering circuit (200) having a pair of output nodes, the

circuit being arranged to receive current from the current source and to pass current to the current sink, and the current steering circuit being configured to provide a differential signal to a load connected across the output nodes; and

Page 3

a control circuit (112) including a voltage regulator (118), the voltage regulator being configured to produce a regulated voltage based on a comparison between a reference voltage and an offset voltage [Figs. 3-6; Para: 0003; 0005-0006; 0011; 0016-0017; 0028-0030; 0039; claims 1-8].

Although Morgan et al teach regulating a desired voltage output differential (VOD) using source current controller (240) and sink current controller (242) [Figs. 4-6; Para: 0032-0039], they do not teach expressly the voltage regulation, wherein the current control terminal of the current sink is arranged to receive the regulated voltage.

Beck teaches a voltage regulator, the voltage regulator having the capability to both sink and source current while maintaining a substantially predetermined voltage level [ Para: 0006; 0012-0017; claims 1, 3]. Further, the current control terminal of the current sink is arranged to receive the regulated voltage [Fig. 1; Para: 0012].

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Beck with Morgan et

Art Unit: 2614

al so that the system may operate satisfactorily [Beck; Para: 0012; lines 12-17].

Regarding claim 2, Morgan et al further teach the circuit for signal transmission, wherein the current steering circuit (200) includes two switches, each switch having an input node and one of the pair of output nodes and being configured to provide current to the respective output node or to receive current from the output node according to a potential at the input node [Figs. 3-4].

Regarding claim 3, Morgan et al further teach the circuit for signal transmission, wherein each switch of the current steering circuit includes a first transistor and a second transistor, and wherein the first transistor of each switch is configured and arranged to conduct current in response to a high potential at the respective input node and to be substantially nonconductive in response to a low potential at the respective input node, and wherein the second transistor of each switch is configured and arranged to conduct current in response to a low potential at the respective

Art Unit: 2614

input node and to be substantially nonconductive in response to a high potential at the respective input node [Figs. 4-5; Para: 0028-0029].

3. Claims 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan et al as applied to claims 1, 2 above.

Regarding claim 4, Morgan et al do not teach expressly using a switch with two

Transistors, one PMOS and another NMOS.

Since Morgan et al teach using switches based transistors [Figs. 3-6], it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to accommodate each switch of the current steering circuit including a first transistor and a second transistor, and wherein each first transistor is a PMOS transistor and each second transistor is an NMOS transistor subject to circuit, system and design constraints.

Claims 5-9 are rejected for the reasons stated above in claim 4.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on Jan. 19, 2007 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 10-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramnandan Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-7529. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (8:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 571-272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ramnandan Singh Examiner

Art Unit 2614